SUMMARY OF FINAL DECISIONS ISSUED BY THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD April 2-6, 2001

Date Issued	Type of Case(1)	Proceeding or Appn.	Party or Parties	TTAB Panel(2)	Issue	TTAB Decision	Opposer's or Petitioner's Mark and Goods or Services	Applicant's or Respondent's Mark and Goods or Services	Mark and Goods Cited by Examining Attorney	Examining Attorney	Citable as Precedent of TTAB
4-3	EX (R)	75/478,091	Manhattan Scientifics, Inc.	Seeherman* Walters Holtzman	2(e)(1); whether applicant's identification of goods is sufficiently definite	Request for Recon- sideration Denied [Refusal Affirmed (on both grounds)]		"GREEN CELL" [fuel cells, fuel cell fuel tanks, and fuel cell fuel]		Thompson	No
4-3	EX	75/359,413	Calcar Advertising Inc.	Simms* Cissel Hanak	2(e)(1)	Refusal Affirmed		"911 DIGITAL REQUEST" [the electronic transmission of emergency service requests containing latitude and longitude information and personalized data to a global computer network]		Perkins	No
4-3	EX	75/635,291	Robbins Industries, Inc.	Hohein Chapman* Wendel	2(e)(1)	Refusal Reversed		"VEGGIE VISE" [cutting boards]		Krebs	No
4-3	EX	75/591,493	Ridmark Corp.	Simms* Cissel Rogers	whether applicant's surname mark is registrable under Sec. 2(f) in view of its ownership of prior registrations [Rule 2.41(b)]	Refusal Affirmed		"RIDDELL" [interactive video game programs]		Perry	No

⁽¹⁾ EX=Ex Parte Appeal; OPP=Opposition; CANC=Cancellation; CU=Concurrent Use; (SJ)=Summary Judgment; (MD)=Motion to Dismiss; (MR)=Motion to Reopen; (R)=Request for Reconsideration

^{(2) *=}Opinion Writer; (D)=Dissenting Panel Member

SUMMARY OF FINAL DECISIONS ISSUED BY THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD April 2-6, 2001 (continued)

Date Issued	Type of Case(1)	Proceeding or Appn.	Party or Parties	TTAB Panel(2)	Issue	TTAB Decision	Opposer's or Petitioner's Mark and Goods or Services	Applicant's or Respondent's Mark and Goods or Services	Mark and Goods Cited by Examining Attorney	Examining Attorney	Citable as Precedent of TTAB
4-3	EX	75/370,316	WIXT TV, Inc.	Bucher* Holtzman Rogers	genericness	Refusal Affirmed		"NEWS CHANNEL 9" [television program services]		Matthews	No
4-3	EX	75/858,392	Fieldcrest Cannon Licensing, Inc.	Chapman* Bucher Rogers	Requirement under Section 6 to disclaim words CLASSIC CASUALS as a unit, rather than separately	Refusal Affirmed		"CANNON CLASSIC CASUALS" [pillows, towels, tablecloths, decorative and drapery fabrics, bathroom shower curtains, bed sheets, etc.]		Hayash	No
4-5	OPP	113,818	Mont- gomery Ward & Co., Inc. v. KingKraft, Inc.	Simms Chapman Wendel*	2(d)	Opposition Sustained	"SHIP 'N SHORE" [various items of women's apparel; handbags]	"SHIP 'N' SHORE" [carryall bag]			No
4-5	EX	75/579,895	Aimco of Florida, Inc.	Cissel Hairston* Bucher	2(d)	Refusal Reversed		"GRAND FLAMINGO" [real estate development of apartments, condominiums, and resort communities]	"FLAMINGO HILTON" and "FLAMINGO HILTON" (in stylized lettering) [both marks for hotel services]; "FLAMINGO PLAYERS CLUB" [casino and hotel services]	Fink	No
4-5	EX	75/706,370	Indspec Chemical Corp.	Cissel Wendel* Rogers	2(e)(1)	Refusal Affirmed		"HPR" [chemicals, namely, aromatic diols for use as polymer components or additives in manufacturing]		Chicoski	No

⁽¹⁾ EX=Ex Parte Appeal; OPP=Opposition; CANC=Cancellation; CU=Concurrent Use; (SJ)=Summary Judgment; (MD)=Motion to Dismiss; (MR)=Motion to Reopen; (R)=Request for Reconsideration

^{(2) *=}Opinion Writer; (D)=Dissenting Panel Member

SUMMARY OF FINAL DECISIONS ISSUED BY THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD April 2-6, 2001 (continued)

Date	Type of	Proceeding	Party or	TTAB	Issue	TTAB	Opposer's or Petitioner's	Applicant's or Respondent's	Mark and Goods Cited	Examining	Citable as
Issued	Case(1)	or Appn.	Parties	Panel(2)		Decision	Mark and Goods or	Mark and Goods or	by Examining Attorney	Attorney	Precedent
		No.					Services	Services			of TTAB
4-5	EX	75/377,072	Adriana Sanchez Manriquez	Cissel* Hanak Holtzman	2(d)	Refusal Affirmed		"CONCORD" [athletic shoes and sneakers]	"CONCORD JEANS STORES" [retail clothing store services featuring sportswear, footwear and men's and women's wearing apparel, namely, jackets, sneakers, shirts, pants, jeans and sweaters]	Rossman	No
4-5	EX	75/656,218	La Femme Cosmetics, Inc.	Seeherman Hanak* Chapman	2(d)	Refusal Affirmed		"LA FEMME" [cosmetics, namely, skin cleansing lotion, concealer, cuticle remover preparation, eye shadow, eye liner, eyebrow pencil, facial scrub, false eyelashes and adhesive therefor, hand and body lotion, lipstick, lip gloss, lip liner, liquid and cream foundation, loose and pressed powder, facial masques, skin moisturizing lotion, nail decals, nail polish, nail polish remover, replenishing cream, rouge and toner]	"FEMME" [cosmetic and toilet preparations, namely, perfume and cologne]	Jacobi	No

⁽¹⁾ EX=Ex Parte Appeal; OPP=Opposition; CANC=Cancellation; CU=Concurrent Use; (SJ)=Summary Judgment; (MD)=Motion to Dismiss; (MR)=Motion to Reopen; (R)=Request for Reconsideration

^{(2) *=}Opinion Writer; (D)=Dissenting Panel Member